

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/722,795	11/26/2003	David D. Bohn	003797.00686	7229	
45809 7590 03/19/2008 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
(c/o MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			BITAR,	BITAR, NANCY	
INTIELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD KANSAS CITY, MO 64108-2613			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)			
BOHN ET AL.	BOHN ET AL.		
Art Unit			
2624			
	Art Unit		

	NANCY BITAR	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMELINATION. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a		
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	t prior to the data of Elips a brief				
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =			
 They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying to	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).				
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				

/Andrew W. Johns/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation "linear array of gradient" raise new issue and require further search by the Examiner ...